Experiment Number: C20105-59

Test Type: TOX

Route: Whole Body Exposure

Species/Strain: Rat/Harlan Sprague Dawley

C Number: C20105-59

Cage Range: ΑII

Date Range: ΑII

Reasons For Removal: ΑII

Removal Date Range: ΑII

ΑII **Treatment Groups:**

Study Gender: Both Date Report Requested: 07/14/2017 Time Report Requested: 11:33:02

Lab: NTP

R02: Reproductive Performance Summary

Test Compound: Cell Phone Radiation: GSM

CAS Number: CELLPRADGSM

Experiment Number: C20105-59

Test Type: TOX

Route: Whole Body Exposure

Species/Strain: Rat/Harlan Sprague Dawley

R02: Reproductive Performance Summary

Test Compound: Cell Phone Radiation: GSM
CAS Number: CELLPRADGSM

Date Report Requested: 07/14/2017 Time Report Requested: 11:33:02

Lab: NTP

F0 Female

		Treatment Groups (W/kg)			
itter ID		0	1.5	3	6
Α	No. Females Mated	56	56	56	56
	No. Females Littering	50	47	47	48
	No. Pregnant Females Not Littering	2	3	3	4
	No. Dead Females	1	0	0	0
	Percent of Pregant Females/Mated ^a	92.9	89.3	89.3	92.9
	Percent of Non-pregnant Females/Mated ^a	7.1	10.7	10.7	7.1
	Percent of Littered Females/Mated ^a	90.9	83.9	83.9	85.7
	Percent of Littered Females/Pregnant ^a	96.2	94.0	94.0	92.3
	Gestational Length ^b	21.9 ± 0.1 (50)	21.9 ± 0.1 (47)	22.0 ± 0.1 (47)	21.9 ± 0.1 (48)

Experiment Number: C20105-59

Test Type: TOX

Route: Whole Body Exposure

Species/Strain: Rat/Harlan Sprague Dawley

R02: Reproductive Performance Summary
Test Compound: Cell Phone Radiation: GSM

CAS Number: CELLPRADGSM

Date Report Requested: 07/14/2017 Time Report Requested: 11:33:02

Lab: NTP

LEGEND

Pre-coital interval in days is calculated for sperm positive females; results shown as mean ± SEM (N)

Gestation length in days calculated for sperm positive females that delivered a litter; results shown as mean ± SEM (N)

Animals removed from study between mating and littering excluded from calculations of percent littered females

Statistical significance for the control group indicates a significant trend test

Statistical significance for a treatment group indicates a significant pairwise test compared to the vehicle control group

** END OF REPORT **

^aStatistical analysis performed by Cochran-Armitage (trend) and Fisher Exact (pairwise) tests

^bStatistical analysis performed by Jonchkeere (trend) and Shirley or Dunn (pairwise) tests

^{*} Statistically significant at P <= 0.05

^{**} Statistically significant at P <= 0.01