Study Number: R10997 Test Type: RACB Route: Dosing in Feed

Species/Strain: Rat/Sprague-Dawley

C Number:

Study Gender:

PWG Approval Date

R14: Developmental Markers Summary Test Compound: Diisobutyl Phthalate

CAS Number: 84-69-5

R10997

Both

See web page for date of PWG Approval

Date Report Requested: 03/27/2019 Time Report Requested: 10:08:50

Test Type: RACB
Route: Dosing in Feed

Species/Strain: Rat/Sprague-Dawley

R14: Developmental Markers Summary

Test Compound: Diisobutyl Phthalate

CAS Number: 84-69-5

Date Report Requested: 03/27/2019 Time Report Requested: 10:08:50

				F1 Male			
Generation	Litter	Cohort		Treatment Groups (ppm)			
				0	1000	5000	10000
F1	С		PND 13				
		All Males	No. Examined (litters)	101 (21)	75 (20)	94 (19)	88 (19)
			No. of areolae/nipples per litter ^a	0.00 ± 0.00 **	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.46 ± 0.17
			No. pups with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00) **	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	14 (15.91) **
			No. litters with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00) **	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	8 (42.11) **
			Testicular Descent				
			No. Examined (litters)	101 (21)	75 (20)	94 (19)	88 (19)
			No. Removed (litters) ^c	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
			No. Not Attaining Testes Descent (litters) ^d	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
			Day of Testes Descent Mean Analysis ^e				
			Litter Mean ± SE ^f Proportional Hazards Analysis ⁹	16.6 ± 0.2	16.3 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.2 *	16.5 ± 0.3
			Litter-based Model ^h	p=0.789	p=0.607	p=0.088	p=0.936

Test Type: RACB Route: Dosing in Feed

Species/Strain: Rat/Sprague-Dawley

R14: Developmental Markers Summary

Test Compound: Diisobutyl Phthalate **CAS Number:** 84-69-5

Lab: RTI

Date Report Requested: 03/27/2019

Time Report Requested: 10:08:50

			F1 Male					
Generation	Litter	Cohort		Treatment Groups (ppm)				
				0	1000	5000	10000	
F1	С		PND 13					
		F1c NonParent Males	No. Examined (litters)	45 (17)	31 (13)	39 (17)	39 (15)	
			No. of areolae/nipples per litter ^a	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	
			No. pups with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	
			No. litters with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	
			Testicular Descent					
			No. Examined (litters)	45 (17)	31 (13)	39 (17)	40 (16)	
			No. Removed (litters) ^c	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
			No. Not Attaining Testes Descent (litters) ^d	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
			Day of Testes Descent					
			Mean Analysis ^e					
			Litter Mean ± SE ^f	16.6 ± 0.2	16.4 ± 0.3	15.9 ± 0.3	16.4 ± 0.3	
			Proportional Hazards Analysis ⁹					
			Litter-based Model ^h	p=0.353	p=0.825	p=0.301	p=0.825	

Test Type: RACB

Route: Dosing in Feed

Species/Strain: Rat/Sprague-Dawley

R14: Developmental Markers Summary

Test Compound: Diisobutyl Phthalate

CAS Number: 84-69-5

Date Report Requested: 03/27/2019 Time Report Requested: 10:08:50

			F1 Male					
Generation	Litter	Cohort		Treatment Groups (ppm)				
				0	1000	5000	10000	
F1	С		PND 13					
		F1c Parental Males	No. Examined (litters)	40 (21)	40 (20)	37 (19)	40 (18)	
			No. of areolae/nipples per litter ^a	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.0	
			No. pups with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	
			No. litters with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	
			Testicular Descent					
			No. Examined (litters)	40 (21)	40 (20)	40 (19)	40 (18)	
			No. Removed (litters) ^c	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
			No. Not Attaining Testes Descent (litters) ^d	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
			Day of Testes Descent					
			Mean Analysis ^e					
			Litter Mean ± SE ^f	16.7 ± 0.2	16.3 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.2 *	16.5 ± 0.3	
			Proportional Hazards Analysis ⁹					
			Litter-based Modelh	p=0.198	p=0.450	p=0.083	p=0.450	

Test Type: RACB
Route: Dosing in Feed

Species/Strain: Rat/Sprague-Dawley

R14: Developmental Markers Summary

Test Compound: Diisobutyl Phthalate

CAS Number: 84-69-5

Date Report Requested: 03/27/2019 Time Report Requested: 10:08:50

			F2 Male					
Generation	Litter	Cohort		Treatment Groups (ppm)				
				0	1000	5000	10000	
F2	С		PND 13					
		All Males	No. Examined (litters)	161 (31)	161 (35)	135 (27)	122 (33)	
			No. of areolae/nipples per litter ^a	0.00 ± 0.00 **	0.00 ± 0.00	0.35 ± 0.18 *	1.63 ± 0.38	
			No. pups with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00) **	0 (0.00)	11 (8.15) *	48 (39.34) **	
			No. litters with areolae/nipples (%) ^b	0 (0.00) **	0 (0.00)	7 (25.93) **	19 (57.58) **	
			Testicular Descent					
			No. Examined (litters)	161 (31)	161 (35)	135 (27)	122 (33)	
			No. Removed (litters) ^c	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
			No. Not Attaining Testes Descent (litters) ^d	1 (1)	2 (2)	2 (2)	10 (7)	
			Day of Testes Descent Mean Analysis ^e					
			Litter Mean ± SE ^f Proportional Hazards Analysis ⁹	15.5 ± 0.2 **	15.5 ± 0.2	15.0 ± 0.2	14.7 ± 0.2	
			Litter-based Model ^h	p=0.041	p=0.764	p=0.764	p=0.596	

Study Number: R10997 Test Type: RACB Route: Dosing in Feed

Species/Strain: Rat/Sprague-Dawley

R14: Developmental Markers Summary

Test Compound: Diisobutyl Phthalate

CAS Number: 84-69-5

Date Report Requested: 03/27/2019 Time Report Requested: 10:08:50

Lab: RTI

LEGEND

In multiple breeding/littering studies Litter A is the default designation for the first litter; subsequent litters would be B, C etc.

No. Examined (litters) = the number of animals or pups examined (number of litters represented)

The number of areolae/nipples per litter are shown as mean ± SEM

No. of pups with areolae/ nipples reported as number of affected pups (%)

No. of litters with areolae/ nipples reported as number of affected litters (%)

If measured, the No. of areolae/nipples at terminal sacrifice are shown as mean ± SEM

^aStatistical analysis for the F1 generation performed by Jonckheere (trend) and Shirley or Dunn (pairwise) tests. Statistical analysis for the F2 generation performed using a bootstrapped Jonckheere trend test; pairwise comparisons were done using the Datta-Satten modified Wilcoxon tests with Hommel adjustment for multiple comparisons.

^bStatistical analysis for the F1 generation was performed using Cochran-Armitage (trend) and Fisher Exact (pairwise) tests. Statistical analysis for the F2 generation was performed using a Rao-Scott Cochran-Armitage test for both trend and pairwise tests.

^cAnimals that died or were removed prior to the end of the observation period and did not attain. These animals were excluded from all analyses.

^dAnimals that survived to the end of the observation period without attaining.

^eSummary statistics and mixed model results are presented for animals that attained during the observation period.

Means of litter means presented. Trend and pairwise tests were based on mixed models for day of attainment with dose as a covariate and a random effect for litter. The Dunnett-Hsu adjustment was used for multiple comparisons.

⁹Animals that did not attain by the end of the observation period were included in the proportional hazards analysis.

^hP-values for trend and pairwise comparisons were calculated from a Cox proportional hazards model with random effect for litter and a Hommel adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Statistical significance for the control group indicates a significant trend test

Statistical significance for a treatment group indicates a significant pairwise test compared to the vehicle control group

- * Statistically significant at P <= 0.05
- ** Statistically significant at P <= 0.01

** END OF REPORT **