Gulf Responder Resilience Training Project- Phase II Kickoff Meeting

March 1, 2013

Biloxi Mississippi

Meeting Report

Introduction

As part of an after action meeting conducted following the Deep Water Horizon (DWH) oil spill, NIEHS determined that disaster worker training and education required revisions to address behavioral health consequences faced by workers who assist with response and recovery activities following disasters. In June 2012, the Worker Education and Training Program began a Gulf Responder Resilience Training Project (GRRTP) which intends to address behavioral health training and education for disaster workers. Phase I commenced with a meeting of stakeholders who provided input into the training and education needs of disaster workers following the Deep Water Horizon oil spill. Participants included health departments, community advocates, academia, and government. A report of that meeting was released in July, 2012. Phase II which includes curriculum development, began with a kick-off meeting of stakeholders convened on March 1, 2013. This report summarizes that meeting. Invitees to this meeting included all of the participants and invitees from the Phase I meeting. A list of participants is included as Attachment 1.

Overview of the Project

points:	
	Pre-disaster training is an important part of preparedness and community resilience
	Keeping communities involved from early on is critical
	Training needs to be role specific, appropriate in language, should help ID safety net
	providers, and hopefully train providers
	Training needs to be modularized such that it can be added to existing courses or as

NIEHS/WETP presented a brief summary of the GRRTP to the participants and made the following

Review of Worker Survey

stand-alone training

Sarpy and Associates provided an overview of worker training survey, which was performed after the DWH response. Preliminary results were provided to the group from the 507 returned surveys. Key findings included:

Most feedback indicated that training was effective
Certain subpopulations found the training to be ineffective

In part, language barriers challenged training effectiveness
Relevance to the current disaster and workers' community was noted to be important for training materials
Some populations gave the training high numerical scores but their comments about the training showed they didn't rate the training as being effective

 This phenomenon was felt to be due to cultural influences

Some populations did not employ the safety techniques provided in the training.

 Indicates issues with effectiveness of the training

Workers preferred training that was hands-on, scenario based, and discussions rather than didactic only.

Next Steps

MDB discussed potential next steps in developing a capabilities-based behavioral health module. A brief description of the various audiences for the training, potential key areas for organization of the development, and the creation of a resource compendium of mental health resources, as recommended in the June, 2012 meeting was provided.

The group then discussed the process by which Phase II would move forward. Participants discussed the following key issues:

Enhancing mental health training and education remains a priority
A resource compendium is not a static document and would not be useful to develop at
this time. Local groups should be encouraged to complete a compendium of local
health and behavioral health resources
Behavioral health and occupational health resources in the Gulf remain limited, so
training needs to be directed towards primary care providers
Community advocacy groups are a community resource that could be used as the link
between the workers and the government (state/federal).

WETP recommended continued stakeholder input into this project, but recognized that attendees haven't the time to participate in a time-intensive working group process. It was recommended and approved that an outside organization perform the basic ground work on curriculum development, such as literature searches, assessing existing programs, creating draft curricula, and developing evaluation metrics. As these are completed, the information would be sent to the stakeholders for review and comment.

A future meeting to discuss the pilot testing process will be considered.